

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR FATEIVES	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/677,060	09/29/2000	Krishna Kishore Dhara	Dhara 2-2-1	1685
46363	7590 08/23/2005		EXAM	IINER
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/		PHAN,	TRI H	
	CHNOLOGIES, INC SBURY AVENUE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SHREWSBU	RY, NJ 07702	• .	2661	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	/k	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/677,060	DHARA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tri H. Phan	2661
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 De</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction.  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	e rejected. ected to. relection requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		•
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/10/2004</li> </ul>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/677,060

Art Unit: 2661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment/Arguments

1. This Office Action is in response to the Response/Amendment filed on December 10<sup>th</sup>, 2004. Claims 1-39 are now pending in the application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 11-19, 22-29, 32, and 35-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Maggenti et al.** (U.S.6,477,150; hereinafter refer as 'Maggenti').
- In regard to claims 1, 11 and 35, **Maggenti** discloses in Figs. 1-14 and in the respective portions of the specification about the software program (For example see col. 15, lines 38-46), system and method of *transporting bifurcated voice and signaling data over the network* (For example see Figs. 2-3), which comprises the steps of identifying at the subscriber device ('communication device CD'), for each communication link to be established, respective signaling data and voice data (For example see col. 12, lines 52-59) and transmitting from the

Art Unit: 2661

subscriber device the signaling data ('signaling traffic') via the first network and the voice data ('media traffic') via the second network (For example see Fig. 2), wherein the first network is different from the second network (For example see Figs. 2-3; col. 20, lines 49-57; wherein, it is obvious that the media traffic and the signaling traffic are transmitted through different networks, such as Internet and PSTN for voice/data and signaling traffic as disclosed in col. 8, lines 46-56).

- Regarding claims 2-3, 7, 12-13, 15-16, 24-27, and 37-38, in addition to features in base claim 1 (see rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 1 discussed above), **Maggenti** further discloses about *the wireless network and the data packet network* (For example see Fig. 2) *and via the base station system* (For example see Fig. 2).
- In regard to claims 4-5, 17-18 and 36, in addition to features in base claim 1 (see rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 1 discussed above), **Maggenti** further discloses about the *communicating to the switch* ('communication manager CM'; For example see Abstract; col. 2, lines 30-41; col. 15, lines 28-37; wherein the "controller" is inherent in the computer system for controlling as disclosed in col. 15, lines 38-46)
- Regarding claims 6, 14, 28 and 39, in addition to features in base claim 1 (see rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 1 discussed above), **Maggenti** further discloses about *the compression* (For example see col. 21, lines 33-39; col. 23, lines 18-30).

Application/Control Number: 09/677,060

Art Unit: 2661

- In regard to claims 8, 19 and XX, in addition to features in base claim 1 (see rationales pertaining the rejection of base claim 1 discussed above), **Maggenti** further discloses about *the conversion between voice and packet* (For example see col. 6, lines 31-35; col. 10, lines 15-19).

- Regarding claims 22 and 29, **Maggenti** fails to explicitly disclose about *switching the* voice traffic to the same communication network as the signaling traffic when loss of local power is detected. However, it is obvious that, depends on system by system and choices, the designed switching is provided by writing codes for the switching program in case of "loss of local power".

Thus it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the switching voice traffic to other traffic, such as signaling traffic in case of "loss of local power" in **Maggenti**'s system, with the motivation being to prevent loss data in case of local power loss.

- In regard to claim 23, Maggenti discloses in Figs. 1-14 and in the respective portions of the specification about the communication system for transporting bifurcated voice and signaling traffic between a calling party and called party (For example see Figs. 2-3), the method comprises the steps of identifying at the subscriber device a call request (For example see col. 5, lines 38-41), establishing the signaling link from the subscriber device to the switch ('communication manager CM'; For example see Abstract; col. 2, lines 30-41; col. 15, lines 28-37) via the first transport network (For example see Fig. 3; col. 5, lines 41-46; col. 8, lines 46-56; col. 12, lines 52-59) and establishing the voice path from the subscriber device to the switch

Art Unit: 2661

via a second transport network ('media traffic'; For example see Figs. 2-3; col. 8, lines 46-56; col. 12, lines 52-59) responsive to a determination that said called party answers, the first transport network being different from the second transport network (For example see col. 8, lines 46-56; col. 12, lines 52-59).

- Regarding claim 32, **Maggenti** discloses in Figs. 1-14 and in the respective portions of the specification about the communications system, which comprises *the subscriber device* ('communication device CD') *for providing bifurcated voice and signaling traffic over the network* (For example see Figs. 2-3) *and means for converting data packets to circuit switched traffic and vice versa* (For example see col. 6, lines 31-35; col. 10, lines 15-19).

# Response to Amendment/Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on December 10<sup>th</sup>, 2004 with respect to claims 1-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gorsuch et al. (U.S.6,081,536) and Roach, Peter O. Jr. (U.S.5,845,211) are all cited to show devices and methods for improving the telecommunication architectures, which are considered pertinent to the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2661

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri H. Phan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3074. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-3126.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

## Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office, whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 09/677,060

Art Unit: 2661

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AN NGUYEN

Tri H. Phan August 22, 2005